## THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA MINUTES FOR SPECIAL JOINT COUNCIL MEETING WITH THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY FOR TUESDAY, APRIL 1, 1986

AT 10:00 A.M.

# IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 12TH FLOOR NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

To Council Members Wolfsheimer, Cleator, McColl, Jones, Gotch, McCarty, and Martinez, members of the City Council of the City of San Diego, California:

A SPECIAL MEETING of the City Council is hereby called to be held in the Council Chambers, 12th floor City Administration Building, 202 C St., San Diego, California, on Tuesday, April 1, 1986 at the hour of 10:00 a.m.

Said meeting will be held for the purpose of a joint meeting with the Redevelopment Agency relative to the Southcrest Redevelopment Project.

Dated February 11, 1986. Ed Struiksma, DEPUTY MAYOR CHRONOLOGY OF THE MEETING:

The meeting was called to order by Deputy Mayor Struiksma at 10:08 a.m. Deputy Mayor Struiksma adjourned the meeting at 11:25 a.m.

### ATTENDANCE DURING THE MEETING:

- (M) Vacant
- (1) Council Member Wolfsheimer-present
- (2) Council Member Cleator-present
- (3) Council Member McColl-present
- (4) Council Member Jones-present
- (5) Council Member Struiksma-present
- (6) Council Member Gotch-present
- (7) Council Member McCarty-present
- (8) Council Member Martinez-present Clerk-Abdelnour (eb)

FILE: MINUTES

ITEM-1: ROLL CALL

Clerk Abdelnour called the roll:

- (M) Vacant
- (1) Council Member Wolfsheimer-present
- (2) Council Member Cleator-present
- (3) Council Member McColl-present
- (4) Council Member Jones-present

- (5) Council Member Struiksma-present
- (6) Council Member Gotch-present
- (7) Council Member McCarty-present
- (8) Council Member Martinez-present

#### ITEM-601:

(Continued from the meetings of October 22, 1985, Item 604, and February 11, 1986, Item 601; last continued at Council Member Jones' request.)

Two actions relative to the Southcrest Redevelopment Project:

(See memorandum from SEDC dated 10/15/85. Southeast San Diego Community Area. District-4.)

Subitem-A: (O-86-65) INTRODUCED, TO BE ADOPTED APRIL 14, 1986

Introduction of an Ordinance approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Southcrest Redevelopment Project.

Subitem-B: (R-86-670) ADOPTED AS RESOLUTION R-265359

Adoption of a Resolution certifying the final Environmental Impact Report with respect to the Southcrest Redevelopment Project; making certain findings with respect to the Environmental Impacts of said project.

NOTE: See Item 330 on the Council docket and the Redevelopment Agency Agenda of April 1, 1986 for related items.

FILE: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: A040-B466.)

MOTION BY JONES TO INTRODUCE SUBITEM-A, ADOPT SUBITEM-B, AND DIRECT SEDC IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIAL COUNSEL FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY TO INCORPORATE THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS WITHIN THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN: (1) PROVIDE FOR A MAXIMUM DENSITY IN THE SOUTHCREST AREA, AREA D, OF 17 UNITS PER ACRE WITH A MAXIMUM OF 22 UNITS PER ACRE WITH A DENSITY BONUS, (2) THAT SEDC WORK WITH THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT, THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE RESIDENTS IN THAT AREA TO LOCATE SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCES IN WITH MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCES IN THE VACANT 252 CORRIDOR AREA, (3) THAT AREA A BE DESIGNATED SINGLE-FAMILY AND ENCOURAGE LOWER DENSITY DEVELOPMENT, (4) THAT CONSIDERATION BE GIVEN FOR THE RENOVATION OF OTTO SQUARE SHOPPING CENTER, USING PROCEEDS FROM TAX INCREMENT MONIES AT

THE APPROPRIATE TIME IF EFFORTS TO CONVINCE THE PROPERTY OWNER FAILS TO UPGRADE THE PROPERTY, (5) TO NOTE THAT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT HAS INDICATED THERE IS NO PROBLEM WITH THE INCREASED NUMBER OF STUDENTS THAT MIGHT RESULT FROM THE PLAN. (6) THAT THE REZONING WILL NOT AFFECT THE PROPERTY AT 4048 BOSTON, (7) AND AS A CONDITION TO EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS TO ACQUIRE A PARTICULAR PROPERTY IN THE PROJECT AREA, THE AGENCY SHALL APPROVE A DECLARATION THAT THE ACQUISITION MEETS ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: (A) THE PRIVATE OR PUBLIC OWNER OF A LARGER PARCEL REQUIRES AN ADJACENT PARCEL IN ORDER TO COMPLETE A PROJECT THAT WILL BE OF BENEFIT TO THE OWNERS OF THE SOUTHCREST COMMUNITY PLAN AND REDEVELOPMENT PLAN, (B) THE EXISTING OWNER, AFTER REPEATED REFUSALS TO REHABILITATE HIS OR HER PROPERTY, MAINTAINS A BLIGHTED OR AN UNINHABITABLE BUILDING OR BUILDINGS THAT DEVALUE ADJOINING OR COMMUNITY PROPERTIES, OR (C) THE PROPERTY IS AN ILLEGAL OR NON-CONFORMING USE UNDER THE COMMUNITY PLAN, THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN, AND UNDERLYING ZONE, AND THAT THE EXISTING USE IS INHIBITING THE DEVELOPMENT, REDEVELOPMENT, OR REHABILITATION OF THE AREA AS PROPOSED BY THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN. Second by Gotch. Passed by the following vote: Wolfsheimer-yea, Cleator-yea, McColl-yea, Jones-yea, Struiksma-yea, Gotch-yea, McCarty-yea, Martinez-yea, Mayor-vacant.

### ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting was adjourned by Deputy Mayor Struiksma at 11:25 a.m.

FILE: MEET

COUNCIL ACTION: (Tape location: B467).